



GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

GREGORY S. BELL
Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

Outgoing
M/003/0079

April 12, 2010

Randy Marriott
Marriott Rock Products, LLC.
5238 West 2150 North
Ogden, Utah 84404

Subject: Fourth Review of Notice of Intention to Commence Large Mining Operations, Marriott Rock Products, LLC., Rocky Point Gravel Mine, M/003/0079, Box Elder County, Utah

Dear Mr. Marriott:

The Division has completed a review of your Notice of Intention to Commence Large Mining Operations for the Rocky Point Mine, which was received February 3, 2010. The attached comments will need to be addressed before tentative approval may be granted.

There are only a few remaining issues, and rather than submitting redline/strikeout text, the Division now asks that you submit two complete, clean (no redline/strikeout) copies of the plan. When approved, both copies will be stamped approved and one will be returned for your reference.

When the plan is complete, the Division will prepare the public notice.

As we finalize this mine plan, we thank you for your cooperation. Please contact me at 801-538-5261 or Lynn Kunzler at 801-538-5310 if you have questions about the review.

Sincerely,

Paul B. Baker
Minerals Program Manager

PBB:lk:vs
Attachment: Review
P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M003-BoxElder\M0030079-RockyPointGravel\final\REV4-3369-04012010.doc



**4th REVIEW OF NOTICE OF INTENTION
TO COMMENCE LARGE MINING OPERATIONS**

Marriott Rock Products

Rocky Point Mine

M/003/0079

April 12, 2010

General Comments:

Comment #	Sheet/Page/Map/Table #	Comments	Initials	Review Action
1	General	Map scales are incorrect. Check all maps. Include just one scale per map. The Division suggests the bar scale. QC with known features on the maps	lah	

105.2 - Surface facilities map

Comment #	Sheet/Page/Map/Table #	Comments	Initials	Review Action
2	Map III	Facilities map is difficult to read, because of the scale. Enlarge so the facilities can be viewed.	lah	

105.3 - Drawings or Cross Sections (slopes, roads, pads, etc.)

Comment #	Sheet/Page/Map/Table #	Comments	Initials	Review Action
3	Map VI	As per comment 8 in previous review, it isn't clear how the sediment will be kept out to the canal. Specifically address the south end of the Phase 2 pit, which is adjacent to the canal.	lah	

R647-4-113 – Surety

Comment #	Sheet/Page/Map/Table #	Comments	Initials	Review Action
4	Page 44 and Reclamation cost estimate	<p>The following comments concern the May 18, 2009, bond calculations:</p> <p>On the summary sheet, the cost for demolition and removal is \$35,676, but when the line items were added the cost is \$58,492.</p> <p>On each of the detailed demolition worksheets, please identify the item that will be demolished and ensure these correlate with the summary sheet. For example, on the first detailed worksheet the description is a doublewide trailer office, but on the demolition summary sheet the structure is referred to as a scale house.</p> <p>Please include the productivity worksheets for the earthwork activities.</p> <p>For the revegetation costs, the Division will allow use of an average cost of \$1,000 per acre. The Means unit costs for revegetation are not based on costs or methods that are typically used in mine reclamation.</p> <p>On the lists of major tasks on page 44 Rule R647-4-113, please have the list correspond to those items listed in the reclamation cost estimate. For example item 1 clean up and removal of structures is very similar to item 6 demolition of structures. There is no mention in the reclamation cost estimate of removal of hazardous materials (item 12) or construction of safety gates (item 5).</p>	whw	